



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,785 08/31/2001		Thomas Stuart Levin	13DV13925	1042	
6111	6111 7590 03/29/2004		EXAMINER		
GENERAL 1	ELECTRIC COMPANY	NGUYEN, CAM LINH T			
ANDREW C HESS GE AIRCRAFT ENGINES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ONE NEUMANN WAY M/D H17			<u>I</u> A	
CINCINNAT	I, OH 452156301		DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004	. 9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- 1 4 41 41					
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/943,78	35	LEVIN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		CamLinh		2171			
Ti Period for R	ne MAILING DATE of this communication eply	appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	}ss		
THE MAI  - Extensions after SIX (  - If the periodal of the pe	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pereply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streceived by the Office later than three months after the ment term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the state riod will apply and weather, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be timutory minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comm D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 August 2001	•				
·		This action is n					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition	of Claims						
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	Papers						
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Exandrawing(s) filed on 31 August 2001 is/addicant may not request that any objection to placement drawing sheet(s) including the column of the colu	re: a)⊠ acce the drawing(s) t rection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See held in abeyance. See held if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	• •		
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:					52)		

Art Unit: 2171

#### DETAILED ACTION

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 – 17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1 - 20 of copending Application No. 09/982,942. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

In the instant application, applicant claims a server configured with a database. In
the copending application, Applicant claims an application configured with a
database. It is well known that an application can be a server. It would have been
obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 2171

substitute the application with the server because the result of the invention will be the same.

In the instant application, applicant claims, a computer while in the copending
application, Applicant claims "at least one wire or wireless". It is obvious to one
with ordinary skill to understand that the wire or wireless can be a computer.

The following table shows example some of the claims in '942 that are rejected by corresponding claims in '785

### Claims Comparison Table:

	'942	'785
Claims	1	- 1
	2	2
	3	3

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 4. Claims 11 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter

Art Unit: 2171

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 11, applicant claims, "the database configured with <u>remediation</u> programs". However, Applicant fails to provide information in the disclosure so one skill in the art had possession of the claimed invention.

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - A. In independent claims 1, Applicant claims "a user to input information", "a user to download information" in the first limitation. Later, Applicant refers to "the user" on the second and third limitation. However, applicant does not clearly define which user that "the user" applies for. In the interest of compact prosecution, it is assumed that "the user" is the end user/customer that using the system.
  - B. In independent claims 1 and 11, Applicant claims "an input means for filtering information". However, applicant does not clearly define the meaning of "filtering" in the disclosure. In the interest of compact prosecution, it is assumed "filtering" is the interface of transmission data between the user and server.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,785 Page 5

Art Unit: 2171

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Daniel et al (U.S. 2002/0022984).
- ♦ As per claim 1, 11,

Daniel et al (U.S. 2002/0022984) discloses a method for accessing and uploading web based information for internal and external users using a system which includes:

- "A server" See Fig. 1, element 28,
- "At least one client system" (Fig. 1, element 22, 26)
- "Allowing a user to input information into the database for upload to said server including product identification information of said user" corresponds to the information that the technician enters to the portable computer to request data for solving the problem (See Fig. 7, element 106, 108, Fig. 5, page 5, paragraph 0042). The product information corresponds to the data information entered in the boxes as "road number, Motor serial number" in Fig. 5.
- "Allowing a user to download information from the database including service enhancement and upgrade opportunities" See page 3, paragraph 0032.

Art Unit: 2171

- "At least one computer" See Fig. 1, element 22, 26.
- "An input means to filter information between the user and the server" See Fig.
  1, element 14, paragraph 0028.
- "An interface between the at least one computer and the server" Se Fig. 1, element 14, 15.
- ♦ As per claim 2, 13,
  - "The product information from the user is reviewed for potential service opportunities" See Fig. 10A, element 204, page 8, paragraph 73. Daniel teaches that, based on the characteristics of the equipment, the system will provide instruction to the service personnel. Therefore, the product information is reviewed for potential service opportunities.
- ♦ As per claim 3,
  - "The input means comprises a wizard" See Fig. 1, element 18, Fig. 5.
- ◆ As per claim 4, 12,
  - "Access to said server is configured to be secured by user password" See paragraph 0040.
- ♦ As per claim 5,
  - "Said user comprises multiple users" See Fig. 1, element 22 26, paragraph 0028.
- ◆ As per claim 6 7, 17,

Art Unit: 2171

- "The database is owned by an owner entity" See page 4, paragraph 36. Daniel teaches that the transportation company owned the location map that is stored in the database. In other word, the transportation company owns this database.
- "The multiple users comprise users internal to the owner entity and users external to the owner entity" See page 4, paragraph 36. Daniel teaches that there are at least two users in the locomotive system.
- The users that using the transportation corresponds to the "external users".
- The users that using the system to repair the locomotive corresponds to the "internal users".
- As per claim⁻8,⁻
  - "The interface is provided by web pages that can be transmitted from the database to the user" See Fig. 7, page 5, paragraph 0042.
- ◆ As per claim 9, 15 16,
  - "The service enhancement and upgrade opportunities comprise service bulletins" See page 5, paragraph 0043, 0049, 0054.
- ◆ As per claim 10, 14,
  - "Server is configured to stored and download text and digital images" See paragraph 0032, 0073.

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2171

- Yansheng Jiang (U.S. 6,564,375) discloses a reusable components for customization of wizard-based applications.
- McQuown et al (U.s. 2002/0059269) discloses a method for guiding repair or replacement of parts for generally complex equipment.
- Bae et al (U.s. 6,295,531) discloses a cool ice data wizard.
- MacLeod Beck et al (U.S. 2003/0179729) discloses a method and apparatus for providing media-independent self-help modules within a multimedia communication center customer interface.
- Costello et al (U.S. 2002/0007225) discloses a method and system for graphically identifying replacement parts for generally complex equipment.
- Walker et al (U.S. 5,862,223) discloses a method for a cryptographically assisted commercial network system designed to facilitate and support expert based commerce.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is 305-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2171

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nguyen, Cam-Linh

LN

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER